MALACOLOGY.—Nomenclatural review of genera and subgenera of Chamidae.

DAVID NICOL, U. S. National Museum.

Except for the excellent paper by Odhner (1919), which has not been carefully studied by most other workers, little research in systematics has been done on the Chamidae. Genera and species of this interesting pelecypod family have, in general, not been understood. Before giving a description of the family, it is necessary to point out the principal weakness of a nomenclatural review. There is always the possibility that one or more genera will be incorrectly allocated because the descriptions and figures are unrecognizable. The problem of inadequate descriptions and figures can be solved only by examining specimens of the type species.

The Chamidae have a porcellaneous shell which may have either concentric or radial ribs, and the shell may be spinose, nodulose, or smooth. The inner ventral border may be smooth or may have small crenulations. A sulcus is commonly present at the posterior fifth of the shell. It runs from the umbos to the posterior ventral border. The shell may be attached by either valve (more commonly the left one) to the substrate during a small part of or practically all of its life. The valves may be markedly unequal or only slightly so, but the attached valve is always the larger. There is no gape for the byssus, foot, or siphons. With the exception of Echinochama, which has a lunule, neither a lunule nor an escutcheon is present. The beaks are prosogyrate and are placed in front of the parivincular ligament. This structure is external but commonly sunken. The pallial line is integripalliate, with a small indentation where it joins the posterior adductor muscle scar. In some species the pallial line joins the anterior adductor muscle scar not at the ventral margin but along the anterior margin. The anterior adductor muscle scar commonly abuts the anterior margin of the hinge plate. The adductor muscle scars are large and either elongate or ovate. The pallial line and adductor muscles commonly resemble those of the lucinids. The hinge teeth in adult shells have been greatly modified by the torsion of the beaks

and secondary splitting, and there is little agreement as to the number of cardinal and lateral teeth in each valve. However, the basic pattern appears to be like that of a highly modified lucinoid hinge. One large cardinal tooth is present in each valve. In the attached valve, particularly in *Echinochama*, a much smaller cardinal tooth is located above and posterior to the large cardinal tooth. A small conical posterior lateral tooth is sometimes seen on the attached valve.

The following genera and subgenera are chamids, with type species whose morph ologi characters correspond to those of the family, and whose names are nomenclaturally valid. This does not mean that all will be acceptable when careful work on the classification of the Chamidae is completed, but these names form a basis for future taxonomic work on the family.

Chama Linné, 1758, pp. 691,692. Type species (subsequent designation, Schumacher, 1817, pp. 20,123. See also Stewart, 1930, p. 33) Chama gryphoides Linné, 1758, Recent; Mediterranean Sea. Figd., Bucquoy, Dautzenberg, & Dollfus, 1892, pl. 50, figs. 1-4.

Ciplyella Vincent, 1930, pp. 111,112. Type species (original designation)—Chama pulchra Ravn, 1902, Danian, Paleocene; Denmark, Belgium. Figd., Vincent, 1930, pl. 6, fig. 12. Ciplyella is probably a chamid, although a careful study of the type species is necessary to ascertain this.

Echinochama Fischer, 1887, p. 1049. Type species (monotypy)—Chama arcinella Linné, 1767, Recent; West Indies. Figd., Reeve, 1847, vol. 4, Chama species 26, pl. 5, fig. 26a.

Eopseuma Odhner, 1919, pp. 25,75. Type species (monotypy)—Pseudochama (Eopseuma) pusilla Odhner, 1919, Recent; Strait of Macassar. Figd., Odhner, 1919, figs. 20–27.

Maceris Modeer, 1793, pp. 174,182. Type species (subsequent designation, Winckworth, 1935, p. 322)—Chama lazarus Linné, 1758, Recent; East Indies. Two species were referred to in the discussion of the genus Maceris (p. 182)— Chama gryphus Linné and Chama lazarus Linné. It is not certain whether Modeer meant Chama gryphoides Linné or Anomia gryphus Linné for the first species. Figd., Reeve, 1847, vol. 4, Chama species 4, pl. 2, figs. 4a, b.

¹ Published by permission of the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution.

Pseudochama Odhner, 1917, pp. 28-34. Type species (subsequent designation, Prashad, 1932, p. 295)—Chama cristella Lamarck, 1819, p. 96, Recent; East Indies. Chama cristella has been considered the type species of Pseudochama by original designation and by monotypy. Neither of these ideas is correct. Odhner mentioned other species as belonging to Pseudochama in his original discussion of the genus. Figd., Reeve, 1847, vol. 4, Chama species 42, pl. 8, fig. 42.

The following generic and subgeneric names have been associated with the Chamidae in the past, but all of them appear to be unavailable from the evidence thus far obtained.

Arcinella Schumacher, 1817, pp. 47,142. Type species (monotypy)-Arcinella spinosa Schumacher, 1817 = Chama arcinella Linné, 1767. A homonym of Arcinella Oken, 1815, which is a carditid genus.

Camelaea Herrmannsen, 1852, vol. 2 (supplement), p. 23. A genus without species. Herrmannsen refers to a work by P. F. Gmelin which has been rejected by the International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature, Opinion 123.

Cameola Rafinesque, 1815, p. 148. An emendation of the name Chama Linné, 1758.

Camostraea Deshayes, 1830, p. 178. A genus without species. Also a misinterpretation of Blainville's definition of the genus.

Chamigenus Renier, 1807, p. vii. Original reference not seen. Renier's names ending in genus have not been accepted by malacologists. For a good review of the problem, see Keen, 1951, pp. 8-15.

Chamites Gmelin, 1793, p. 402. The name Chamites is listed as a species under the genus Helmintholithus. Neave (1939, p. 668) and Schulze (1927, p. 630) are in error in considering Chamites of Gmelin as a generic name.

Cipleyella Neave, 1939, vol. 1, p. 740. Error for

Ciplyella Vincent, 1930.

Diceratia Oken, 1815, p. 829. Type species (monotypy)-Chama cor Linné, 1767. Diceratia appears to be a synonym of Isocardia Lamarck, 1799, and Glossus Poli, 1795.

Diceratis Paetel, 1875, p. 69. Error for Diceratia

Oken, 1815.

Globus Deshayes, 1832, p. 170. A genus without species and a homonym of Globus Scopoli, 1772.

Goossensia Cossmann, 1885, p. 113. Type species (monotypy)-Goossensia plicatuloides Cossmann, 1885, pp. 113-115. Probably not a chamid; however, Dall's opinion (1903, p. 1397) that the genus is based on a nepionic shell of a chamid is certainly worthy of investigation.

Gryphus Gray, 1847, p. 193. A genus without species. This name is listed under Chama and Arcinella and credited to Humphrey, as a manuscript name. A homonym of Gruphus Megerle, 1811, Gryphus Oken, 1816, among others.

Hellia Schafhäutl, 1863, pp. 160,161. Type species (monotypy)—Hellia gryphus Schäfhautl, 1863. This species has been described from poorly preserved internal casts, but it probably does not belong to the family Chamidae. The right valve is supposedly larger than the left.

Jataronus Bruguière, 1792, p. 546. A genus without species. It is impossible, from the brief

description, to define the genus.

Lacinea Sowerby, 1842, p. 168, A genus without species. Listed as a synonym of Chama La-

marck.

Lazarus Cuvier, 1800, table 5. A nomen nudum. Licinia Gray, 1847, p. 193. A genus without species. This name is listed under Chama and Arcinella by Gray and credited to Humphrey, 1797, as Licinia sp. A homonym of Licinia Swainson, 1820.

Macerophylla Mörch, 1853, p. 36. A genus without species. Listed as a manuscript name of

Martens.

Macerophyllum Herrmannsen, 1847, vol. 2, p. 1. A genus without species.

Macrophyllum Gray, 1847, p. 193. A genus without species. Also a homonym of Macrophyllum Gray, 1838.

Psiloderma Fischer, 1887, p. 1048. Error for

Psilopoderma Poli, 1795.

Psilopoderma Poli, 1795, pp. 253,258. Type species (monotypy)—Chama gryphoides Linné, 1758. A synonym of Psilopus Poli, 1795, and of Chama Linné, 1758.

Psilopododerma Agassiz, 1846, p. 313. Emendation of Psilopoderma Poli, 1795.

Psilopus Poli, 1795, p. 112. Type species (monotypy)—Chama gryphoides Linné, 1758. A synonym of Chama Linné, 1758.

Psilotus Rafinesque, p. 146. An error for Psilopus

Stola Herrmannsen, 1849, vol. 2, p. 503. A genus without species.

REFERENCES

Agassiz, L. Nomenclatoris zoologici index universalis, etc.: 393 pp. Soloduri, 1846.

BRUGUIÈRE, J. G., LAMARCK, J. B., and DESHAYES, G. P. Encyclopédie méthodique (Mollusca). Paris, 1789-1832.

BUCQUOY, E., DAUTZENBERG, PH., and DOLLFUS, G. Les mollusques marins du Roussillon 2 (7): 273-320, Paris, 1892.

COSSMANN, M. Description d'espèces du terrain tertiaire des environs de Paris (suite). Journ. Conchyl., 3d sér., 25 (2): 106-130, pls. 4-6. 1885.

Cuvier, G. Leçons d'anatomie eompasée 1: 521 pp., 9 tab. Paris, 1800. Dall, W. H. Contributions to the Tertiary fauna of

Florida, etc. Trans. Wagner Free Inst. Sci.
Philadelphia 3 (6): 1219-1654, pls. 48-60. 1903.

FISCHER, PAUL. Manuel de eonehyliologie, etc.:
1369 pp., 23 pls., 1138 text figs. Paris, 1887.
GMELIN, J. F. Systema naturae, ed. 13, 3: 476 pp.

Lipsiae, 1793.

Gray, J. E. A list of the genera of Recent Mollusca, their synonyma and types. Proc. Zool. Soc. London 1847: 129-219, 1847.

HERRMANNSEN, A. N. Indieis generum malaeozoorum primordia. Kassel, 1846-1852.

KEEN, A. M. The mollusean names in Renicr's "Tavole." Nautilus 65 (1): 8-15. 1951.

LINNÉ, KARL VON. Systema naturae, ed. 10, 1: 824 pp. Holmiae, 1758.
——. Systema naturae, ed. 12, 1: 1,327 pp.

Holmiae, 1767.
Modeer, Aldolph. Inledning til kunskapen om
Maskkraken i allmänhet; 5 Classen. Musslor,

Coehleata. Kongl. Svenska Vet. Acad. Nya Handl. 14: 163-183. 1793.

Mörch, A. O. L. Catalogus eonehyliorum . . . Yoldi; ete., fasc. 2·74 pp. Hafniae, 1853.

ete., fasc. 2: 74 pp. Hafmae, 1853. Neave, S. A. Nomenelator zoologieus, 5 vols. Zool.

Soc. London, 1939–1950.

Odiner, Nils H. Results of Dr. E. Mjöbergs
Swedish Scientific Expeditions to Australia
1940–1943, XVI Mollusca, Kungl. Svenska
Vet. Akad. Handl. 52 (16)· 115 pp., 3 pls.
1947.

—— Studies on the morphology, the taxonomy and the relations of Recent Chamidae. Kungl. Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. 59 (3): 102 pp., 8 pls. 1019

OKEN, LORENZ. Okens Lehrbuchder Naturgeschiehte. 3: Zool., 1 Abt.: 842 pp. Leipzig, Jena, 1815. Paetel, F. Die bisher veröffentliehten Familien und Gattungsnamen der Mollusken, etc.: 229 pp. Berlin, 1875.

Poli, G. S. Testaeea Utriusque Sieiliae, etc. Parmae, 1791–1827.

Prashad, B. The Lamellibranehia of the Siboga Expedition, Systematic Part II. Pelecypoda (exclusive of the Pectinidae). Monograph 53c: 353 pp., 9 pls., 1 map. Leiden, 1932.

Rafinesque, C. S. Analyse de la nature on Tableau de l'univers et des corps organises. Palerme, 1815.

Reeve, L. A. Monograph of the genus Chama. Conchologia iconica 4: London, 1847.

Reiner, S. A. Tavole per service alla classificazione e eonnoseenza degli animali. Pavova, 1807.

Schafhäutl, Karl E. Der Kressenberg und die Südlieh von ihm gelegenen Hoehalpen geognostisch betrachtet in ihren Petrefacten. Süd-Bayerns Lethaea Geognostica: 487 pp., 86 pls., 2 maps. Leipzig, 1863.

Schulze, F. E., Kükenthal, W., Heider, K., and Hesse, R. Nomenclator animalium generum et subgenerum. Berlin, 1926-1940.

Schumacher, C. F. Essai d'un nouveau système des habitations des vers testaeés: 287 pp., 22 pls. Copenhague, 1817.

Sowerby, G. B. A eonehological manual, ed. 2; 313 pp., 26 pls. London, 1842.

STEWART, R. B. Gabb's California Crctaeeons and Tertiary type lamellibranehs. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia Spec. Publ. 3: 314 pp., 17 pls. 1930.

STILES, C. W. P. F. Gmelin's Onomatologia Historia Naturalis Completa suppressed. Smithsonian Misc. Coll. 73 (7): 34-36 (opinion 123). 1931.

MISC. Coll. 18 (1): 34-50 (opinion 123), 1951.
VINCENT, ÉMILE. Études sur les moltusques Montiens du Poudingue et du Tuffeau de Ciply.
Mus. Roy. Hist. Nat. Belgique Mém. 46: 115 pp., 6 pls. 1930.

Winckworth, R. 8. Modeer's Genera of Mollusca. Proc. Malac. Soc. London 21: pt. 5, 321-323.

1935.

ENTOMOLOGY.—A new carpenterworm from Florida (Lepidoptera: Cossidae). J. F. Gates Clarke, U. S. Bureau of Entomology and Plant Quarantine.

It is seldom that so large and conspicuous a moth as that described below remains undiscovered for so long a time, especially since it inhabits a well-populated area and a region frequented by entomologists. Nevertheless such is the case, and it is a further example of what yet remains to be done in many parts of the country. The larvae of this species were first reported by William Reimer, a medical student, and the type series was reared and submitted by Prof. H. F. Strobecker, Department of Zoology, University of Miami.

Prionoxystus baccharidis, n. sp.

Figs. 1-4b

Alar expanse: Male, 34-40 mm. Female, 43-45 mm.

Antenna black with strong, metallic-blue iridescence above. Labial palpus, head, thorax, and ground color of forewing sordid white to cinercous, the lighter color prevailing in the female; palpus, head, and thorax with darkgray and black scales mixed; forewing covered with a fine, black reticulum somewhat heavier in male than in female; costal black markings